



Patent
Attorney's Docket No. 003300-581

#32
BUP
7/9/09

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of)
Thomas BRODIN et al.) Group Art Unit: 1639
Application No.: 09/365,241) Examiner: Padmashri Ponnaluri
Filed: July 30, 1999) Confirmation No.: 1539
For: *IN SITU* IDENTIFICATION OF)
TARGET STRUCTURES E.G. *IN VIVO*)
SELECTION METHOD FOR A PHAGE)
LIBRARY (AS AMENDED))

SUBMISSION OF FORMAL DRAWINGS

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Enclosed please find six (6) sheet(s) of corrected drawings for review by the Patent and Trademark Office. Should the enclosed drawing(s) require changes, it is respectfully requested that the Patent and Trademark Office notify the undersigned of same.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Date: June 25, 2003

By: Jennifer A. Topmiller
Jennifer A. Topmiller, Ph.D.
Registration No. 50,435

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620



#33
MP
7/9/03

APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

Application No.: 09/365,241 First Named Applicant: Thomas BRODIN
Attorney's Dkt. No.: 003300-581 Examiner: Padmashri Ponnaluri
Group Art Unit: 1639 Status of Application: Pending (First Action Issued)

Tentative Participants: Jennifer Topmiller (and Phil
(1) Kearney via telephone (2) Mercedes Meyer
(3) Examiner P. Ponnaluri (4) Examiner A. Wang

Proposed Date of Interview: as soon as convenient Proposed Time: flexible AM
Type of Interview Requested: (1) X Telephonic (2) X Personal (3) PM
Exhibit To Be Shown Or Demonstrated: Yes X No
If yes, provide brief description:

ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Cited Documents	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) § 112 rejection	mainly indep. claim 66		[]	[]	[]
(2) § 102 rejection	mainly indep. claim 66	Cai et al. (1995 and 1996)	[]	[]	[]
(3)			[]	[]	[]
(4)			[]	[]	[]

[] Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: See attached Reply & Amendment submitted herewith on June 25, 2003.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Applicant's / Applicants' Representative Signature
Reg. No. 50,435

Examiner / SPE Signature

SEND TO:
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450